

Field Operations Manual for Assessing the Hydrologic Permanence and Ecological Condition of Headwater Streams



RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Field Operations Manual for Assessing the Hydrologic Permanence and Ecological Condition of Headwater Streams

Prepared by
Ken M. Fritz
Brent R. Johnson
David M. Walters

Ecosystems Research Branch
Ecological Exposure Research Division
National Exposure Research Laboratory
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Notice:

Although this work was reviewed by EPA and approved for publication, it may not necessarily reflect official Agency policy. Mention of trade names and commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development
Washington, DC 20460

NOTICE

The information in this document has been subjected to the Agency's peer and administrative review requirements and has been approved for publication as an EPA document.

Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

The correct citation for this document is:

Fritz, K.M., Johnson, B.R., and Walters, D.M. 2006. Field Operations Manual for Assessing the Hydrologic Permanence and Ecological Condition of Headwater Streams. EPA/600/R-06/126. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Washington DC.

PREFACE

The mission of the Ecological Exposure Research Division (EERD), National Exposure Research Laboratory (NERL), United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) is to improve the scientific basis for understanding, measuring, and protecting biological integrity so that USEPA and other resource agencies can make sound, defensible environmental decisions. Our research is primarily focused on the development, evaluation, and implementation of new methods to assess ecosystem condition, to evaluate biotic responses to environmental stressors, and to predict future vulnerability of natural populations, communities and ecosystems.

This document originated from a research project, the Headwater Intermittent Streams Study (HISS), funded through the USEPA's Regional Methods (RM) Program (overseen by the Biological Advisory Committee and supported by the USEPA, Office of Science and Policy). The purpose of RM is to support development of methods needed by EPA regions, states and tribes to meet their monitoring and enforcement objectives. The widespread need for standardized methods for assessing headwater streams is apparent from the sponsorship and participation by USEPA Regional offices (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10) and several state offices therein. The initial development of the methods was in forested headwater streams located in Indiana, Kentucky, and Ohio over 2003 and 2004. Following training workshops, state and regional teams used the methods to collect data from forested headwater streams in Illinois, New Hampshire, New York, Vermont, West Virginia, and Washington. This manual is a product of the working collaboration among EERD, regional, and state scientists. We hope that the methods described in this manual will be useful to individuals and organizations interested in monitoring and protecting headwater streams.

Florence Fulk
Acting Director
Ecological Exposure Research Division

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Notice.....	iii
Preface.....	iv
Table of Contents.....	v
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
Acknowledgements.....	xiv
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xv
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Purpose.....	1
2 Factors Influencing Study Design.....	10
2.1 Study design for comparing across stream reaches with varying hydrologic permanence.	18
3 Physical Habitat Characterization.....	25
3.1 Designating hydrologic condition for stream reaches	29
3.2 Continuous monitoring of hydrologic condition.....	35
3.3 Identifying the channel head.....	40
3.4 Identifying channel headcuts	43
3.5 Measuring channel sinuosity.....	46
3.6 Designating habitat units.....	48
3.7 Measuring channel slope.....	51
3.8 Measuring water depth.....	54
3.9 Measuring wetted width.....	58
3.10 Measuring basic channel geomorphology	60
3.11 Measuring water velocity.....	64
3.12 Measuring discharge	70
3.13 Measuring depth to bedrock and groundwater table.....	75
3.14 Gravimetrically measuring streambed sediment moisture.....	79
3.15 Characterizing the size distribution of streambed sediments.....	82
3.16 In situ water chemistry measurements.....	87
3.17 Measuring riparian canopy cover.....	92
4 Biological Sampling.....	96
4.1 Sampling the bryophyte assemblage.....	99
4.2 Sampling the epilithic algal assemblage.....	105
4.3 Visual and tactile assessment of algal cover.....	111
4.4 Sampling the benthic invertebrate assemblage.....	114
4.5 Surveying the amphibian assemblage.....	123

5 Appendix Field Forms	130
------------------------------	-----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1	Modified Wentworth scale for sediment particle size classes. Bold-faced numbers indicate values to be entered on field forms.....	85
Table 3-2	Data Quality Objectives (DQO) for in situ water chemistry measurements.....	89
Table 4-1	Algal Cover Index (ACI) scores and their associated characteristics	112

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Portions of a 1:100 000 (A; Ironton 30 x 60 minute quadrangle) and a 1:24 000 (B; Gallia 7.5 minute quadrangle) scale United States Geological Survey (USGS) topographic maps illustrating the upper reaches of Buffalo Creek in Wayne National Forest (Lawrence and Gallia Counties, OH). Black circles and associated letters mark corresponding points on both maps. Black horizontal bars represent 1 km. Buffalo Creek at “a” is a second-order stream on the 1:100 000 map, but is a third-order stream on the 1:24 000 map. Likewise, Buffalo Creek at “b” is considered a first-order stream on the 1:100 000 map, but is a second-order stream on the 1:24 000 map. The point marked “c” is shown as a first-order stream on the 1:24 000 map, but is not designated as a stream on the 1:100 000 map. The number of first-order streams shown upstream of “a” on the 1:100 000 map is two, whereas the 1:24 000 map has five. Field surveys of this drainage would likely find \geq 10X first-order streams upstream of “a”.	2
Figure 1-2	Portions of 1:15 840 United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) maps from Lawrence and Gallia Counties, OH illustrate the upper reaches of Buffalo Creek in Wayne National Forest (McCleary and Hamilton 1998). Green circles and associated letters mark corresponding points on maps in Figure 1-1. The yellow circles highlight the delineated stream origins. Black horizontal bars represent 0.5 mi (0.805 km). Buffalo Creek at “a” is a fourth-order stream, at “b” it is considered a third-order stream, and at “c” it is shown as a second-order. The number of first order streams shown upstream of “a” is 41.	3
Figure 2-1	Relationship between sample size and standard error estimations assuming proportions are equal among populations.	11
Figure 2-2	Types of disturbance (solid) and responses (dashed) in streams: pulse (a), press (b), ramp (c) and stepped (d). Based on figures from Lake (2003) and Boulton (2003).	14
Figure 2-3	Map highlighting position of headwater channels within the watershed of Falling Rock Branch, KY. Yellow represents boundary of watershed, blue represents “blue line” designation on the 1:24 000 USGS topographic map (Noble 7.5 minute quadrangle, Breathitt County, KY), and red represent headwater channels not shown on the topographic map.	20
Figure 2-4	Schematic showing suboptimal and preferred longitudinal positioning of sites along headwater channels to maximize the range of hydrologic permanence across study sites. Hypothetical drainage areas are shown to further illustrate spatial hierarchy.	21

Figure 2-5 Map showing positioning of sites along two Indiana headwater streams where the downstream perennial site (P) is “shared” between two tributaries. DI = downstream intermittent; UI = upstream intermittent; and E = ephemeral. Shading shows cumulative drainage area in downstream direction.	22
Figure 2-6 Schematic of headwater channels showing numerical designation and position of study sites relative to origins of intermittent and perennial flow.	23
Figure 3-1 Appropriate location for recording hydrologic condition on page 1 of field forms.	29
Figure 3-2 Primary components of a water sensor used to continuously monitor hydrologic condition.	35
Figure 3-3 Launch dialog box for Onset Boxcar Pro.	36
Figure 3-4 Desiccant packs and Onset Hobo® State data logger with jacks and LEDs shown.	36
Figure 3-5 Water sensor with 2.5 mm cable, O-ring and seat shown.	37
Figure 3-6 Schematic showing assembly of stilling well and contact end of water sensor.	37
Figure 3-7 Water sensor securely attached to rebar above and below stilling well.	38
Figure 3-8 Water sensor positioned for continuous monitoring of hydrologic condition. Meter stick shown for scale.	38
Figure 3-9 Drawing showing a valley hillslope (swale or hollow) relative to channel. Valley head (A), gradual (B) and abrupt (C) channel heads are identified. Gray areas indicate zero-order basins draining into channel heads. Redrawn from Dietrich and Dunne (1993).	40
Figure 3-10 An abrupt channel head in Wayne National Forest, OH.	41
Figure 3-11 Views from gradual channel heads in east-central Kentucky. A) Looking upslope toward the valley head from the channel head position. B) Looking downslope at the cascade structure of the transitional channel.	42
Figure 3-12 Longitudinal view of a headcut, (A.) Blue arrows illustrate flowpaths that lead to undercutting, failure of the headwall, and eventually upstream migration of the headcut; (B.) Abrupt change in summer baseflow hydrology at a headcut.	44
Figure 3-13 Portion of page 1 of field forms showing the cell for recording presence of channel headcuts.	45
Figure 3-14 Subtle headcut in Falling Rock Creek in east-central KY (looking upstream).	45

Figure 3-15 Huge headcut (~2 m change in bed elevation) in an unnamed stream in Athens, GA (looking upstream).....	45
Figure 3-16 Headcut in Taylor Branch in south-central IN (looking downstream), where streambed elevation at the arrow was ~ 1 m higher than streambed below headcut at the yellow circle.	45
Figure 3-17 Examples of stream channels varying in sinuosity (number of bends) along 30-m study reaches.....	47
Figure 3-18 Portion of page 1 of field forms showing the cell for recording channel sinuosity.	47
Figure 3-19 Plan view of study reach (top) and picture showing series of alternating erosional and depositional habitats along a headwater stream.	49
Figure 3-20 Appropriate location for recording habitat units and notes on Page 2 of the Field Forms.	50
Figure 3-21 Longitudinal section of channel.	51
Figure 3-22 Plan view of study reach showing measurement locations (vertical black tick marks) for channel slope. Flow is from right to left and the dotted line represents the thalweg.....	52
Figure 3-23 Crew members measuring slope of intermittent stream.....	52
Figure 3-25 Portion of page 1 of field forms showing cells for percent slope values.	53
Figure 3-26 Longitudinal section of channel showing position of manometer and points of measurement to calculate slope (redrawn from Gordan et al. 1992). Blue arrow shows direction of flow. L = horizontal length, h_1 = height at the upstream end and h_2 = height at downstream end.....	54
Figure 3-27 Overhead view of study reach showing locations for water depth measurement (vertical black tick marks) along the reach thalweg (dotted line). Water is flowing from right to left. (A.) overhead view of study reach (B.) channel cross-section, and (C.) lateral close-up of depth.	55
Figure 3-28 Appropriate location for recording longitudinal water depth measurements on page 2 of the field forms.	56
Figure 3-29 Schematic showing appropriate reading of water depth where water surface is turbulent.	57
Figure 3-30 Appropriate location for recording maximum pool depth measurement on page 1 of the field forms.	57
Figure 3-31 Channel cross-section illustrating wetted width.	58
Figure 3-32 Overhead view of study reach showing measurement locations (vertical black tick marks for wetted width. Flow is from right to left and the dotted line represents the thalweg.....	58

Figure 3-33 Appropriate location for recording wetted width measurement on page 2 of the field forms.....	59
Figure 3-34 Channel cross-sections showing wetted width measurements where there is emergent cobble (A.), island (B.), and side-pool (C.).....	59
Figure 3-35 Headwater stream channel showing the location of the streambed and the banks (white arrows).....	60
Figure 3-36 Plan view of study reach showing 5-m intervals. Direction of arrows shows direction of flow, and the dotted line represents the thalweg.	61
Figure 3-37 Photograph shows measurement of bankfull (BF) width and bankfull depth.....	62
Figure 3-38 Appropriate location for recording bankfull (BF) width (red), bankfull depth (blue), and flood prone area (FPA) width (black) measurements on page 2 of the field forms.	63
Figure 3-39 Photograph illustrating flood-prone area (FPA) width.	63
Figure 3-40 Plan view of study reach showing measurement locations (vertical black tick marks) for current velocity measurements. Flow is from right to left and the dotted line represents the thalweg.....	65
Figure 3-41 Longitudinal section across the channel thalweg showing orientation of the velocity probe for measurements.	66
Figure 3-42 Appropriate location for recording water velocity on page 2 of the field forms.	66
Figure 3-43 Bag meter used to measure water velocity.....	67
Figure 3-44 Overview of study reach showing measurement locations (black tick marks crossing the thalweg, shown as dotted line), upstream (dashed blue lines) and downstream segment boundaries (solid red lines) for the neutrally-buoyant procedure to measure water velocity.	68
Figure 3-45 Overhead view of study reach showing leading and trailing edges of fluoroscene plume.	69
Figure 3-46 Plan view of study reach (top) showing discharge measurement cross-section (red dashed line). Cross-section for discharge measurement (bottom) showing measurement cells.	71
Figure 3-47 Appropriate location for recording discharge and procedures used on page 3 of field forms. Example values shown in red.	72
Figure 3-48 Bag meter used to measure discharge.	72
Figure 3-49 Example of a concentration curve from a slug injection. Discharge (m^3s^{-1}) is the hatched area under the curve.	74
Figure 3-50 Example of a concentration curve from a continuous injection. Discharge (m^3s^{-1}) is the hatched area under the curve.	74

Figure 3-51 Using sounding rod and hand sledge hammer to estimate depth to bedrock and the groundwater table.	77
Figure 3-52 Cross-section of a dry channel illustrating depth to underlying bedrock (A) and depth to the groundwater table (B).	78
Figure 3-53 Appropriate location for recording depth to bedrock (example values in blue) and depth to groundwater (example values in red) on page 1 of field forms.	78
Figure 3-54 Sampling sediment moisture.	80
Figure 3-55 Tapping core vertically into streambed.	80
Figure 3-56 Appropriate location for recording the number of sediment moisture cores collected on page 1 of field forms.	80
Figure 3-57 Example of the sediment moisture data sheet.	81
Figure 3-58 Schematic of study reach illustrating thalweg (dotted line) and patch locations for determining modal sediment particle size class. Inset provides a close-up of a patch (overlaid) with measuring tape used in designating patch locations longitudinally along the study reach).	84
Figure 3-59 Appropriate location for recording modal particle size data on page 2 of field forms (example from Figure 3-58 highlighted).	87
Figure 3-60 An example of an instrument inspection and calibration log sheet.	89
Figure 3-61 Appropriate locations for recording in situ water quality measurements on page 1 of field forms, example values shown in red.	90
Figure 3-62 Plan view of a convex spherical densitometer, showing percent cover values associated with intersections. Values are equivalent to the number of squares meeting at each intersection.	93
Figure 3-63 Appropriate location for recording percent canopy cover on page 1 of field forms.	93
Figure 3-64 Plan view of a convex spherical densitometer, modified for measuring over 17 intersections (open circles) that are delimited by a “V” taped to the convex mirror.	94
Figure 4-1 Examples of a species-area curve (A) and a species gained-area curve (B) for benthic invertebrates samples (sample area = 0.053 m ²) collected from a perennial site on Falling Rock Branch, Robinson Forest, KY. Each point represents the mean (\pm 1 SE) of 100 permutations.	98
Figure 4-2 Sporophyte and gametophyte generations of a moss. (Photo by Michael Lüth).	99
Figure 4-3 An epilithic moss (Musci) growing in a headwater stream.	100
Figure 4-4 An epilithic liverwort (Hepaticae) growing in a headwater stream.	100

Figure 4-5 Appropriate location for recording bryophyte sample information on page 1 of field forms.	101
Figure 4-6 Appropriate location for recording algal sample information on page 1 of field forms. Example information is shown in red.	106
Figure 4-7 Collecting epilithic algae from a stone within the sample delimiter.....	107
Figure 4-8 Equipment used to collect and preserve algal assemblage samples. Numbers correspond to the Equipment and Supplies list.	111
Figure 4-10 Appropriate location for recording the dominant reach score for the Algal Cover Index on page 1 of field forms.	113
Figure 4-12 Coarse surface substrate set aside in basin for scrubbing.	116
Figure 4-13 Sweep the hand net through the water column to collect suspended invertebrates within the bucket area.	117
Figure 4-14 Scrubbing attached invertebrates off the coarse surface substrate in the wash basin (or sieve).....	117
Figure 4-15 Carefully adding water to the wash basin before sample elutriation.	117
Figure 4-16 Sample elutriation in the wash basin and pouring invertebrates and fine detritus into the sieve.	117
Figure 4-17 Carefully search the basin for heavy-bodied invertebrates that were not transferred to the sieve.	118
Figure 4-18 Washing sieve contents to one side by gentle agitation while sieve is partially submerged.....	118
Figure 4-19 Sieve contents condensed for transfer to sample bag.....	118
Figure 4-20 Sieve contents rinsed into sample bag (over basin) using ethanol squirt bottle.	118
Figure 4-21 Appropriate location for recording invertebrate sampling information on page 1 of field forms. Example sample information shown in red.	119
Figure 4-22 Equipment used to collect and preserve benthic invertebrate samples. Numbers correspond to the equipment and supplies list above.	123
Figure 4-23 Northern tow-lined salamander, <i>Eurycea cirrigera</i> , from Robinson Forest, KY: A) egg clutch; B) larva; and C) adult.	124
Figure 4-24 Larval spring salamander, <i>Gyrinophilus porphyriticus</i> , from Robinson Forest, KY.	125
Figure 4-25 Amphibian survey field form.	127

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the following USEPA and state agency personnel for input, support, and encouragement throughout the development of this document: Pete Nolan, Dave McDonald, and Tom Faber (Region 1, West Chelmsford, MA); Jim Kurtenbach (Region 2, Edison, NJ); Maggie Passmore, Greg Pond, Frank Borsuk, and Lou Reynolds (Region 3, Wheeling, WV); Pete Kalla (Region 4, Athens, GA); Jim Harrison (Region 4, Atlanta, GA); Ed Hammer, Dave Pfeifer, Kerry Gerard, Holly Arrigoni, and Jonathan Burian (Region 5, Chicago, IL); Bill Schroeder (Region 8, Denver, CO); Tina Laidlaw (Region 8, Helena, MT); Bobbi Smith (Region 9, San Francisco, CA); Pete Husby (Region 9, Richmond, CA); Gretchen Hayslip, Lil Herger, Lorraine Edmond, Peter Leinenbach, Denise Clark, and Ben Cope (Region 10, Seattle, WA); Jeff Bailey (WVDEP, Wheeling, WV), Bob Bode (NYSDEC, Albany, NY), Heather Pembroke and Jim Kellogg (VTDEC, Waterbury, VT), Dan Dudley (Ohio EPA, Columbus, OH), Jeff DeShon, Edward Moore, and Mike Bolton (Ohio EPA, Groveport, OH), Robert Davic and Paul Anderson (Ohio EPA, Twinsburg, OH); Larry Eaton and Dave Penrose (NCDNR, Raleigh, NC); Diane Regas, Craig Hooks, Donna Downing, Traci Nadeau, Laura Gabanski, Rose Kwok (USEPA Office of Water (OW), Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds (OWOW), Washington, DC); Richard Sumner (OW, OWOW, Corvallis, OR); Bill Swietlik OW, Office of Science and Technology, Washington, DC); Allison Roy, Bill Shuster, Chris Nietch, and Keith Taulbee (Office of Research and Development, National Risk Management Research Laboratory (NRMRL), Cincinnati, OH).

We would also like thank following people and their associated management for facilitating the project at various locations: Jason Taylor (The Nature Conservancy (TNC), Logan, OH); Peter Whan (TNC, West Union, OH); Rebecca Ewing (USFS Wayne National Forest, Nelsonville, OH); Anne Timm (USFS Hoosier National Forest, Tell City, IN); Mike Welker (USFS Shawnee National Forest, Harrisburg, IL); Dr. Chris Barton (University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY); and Mr. Will Marshall (University of Kentucky, Robinson Forest, Clayhole, KY).

We appreciate the critical peer-review of the manual by the following USEPA ORD scientists: Ted Angradi and Brian Hill (National Health and Environmental Effects Laboratory (NHEERL), Duluth, MN); Michael Griffith (National Center for Environmental Assessment, Cincinnati, OH), Steve Reynolds (NRMRL, Ada, OK); Allison Roy (NRMRL, Cincinnati, OH); Jim Wigington (NHEERL, Corvallis, OR); and Paul Wagner (National Exposure Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC). We also thank the following scientists for commenting on earlier versions of the manual: Kyle Hartman (West Virginia University), Jeff Jack and Art Parola (University of Louisville), Frank McCormick (U.S. Forest Service), and Ben Stout (Wheeling Jesuit University).

Special thanks to the other members of the Ecosystem Research Branch who have helped with field work and other technical aspects: Brad Autrey, Karen Blocksom, Randy Bruins, Joe Flotemersch, Florence Fulk, Jennifer Greenwood, Don Klemm, Chuck Lane, Pat Lewis, Michael Moeykens, Marie Nieman, Mary Sullivan, and Lori Winters.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

°C	Degrees Centigrade
μm	Micrometer
μS/cm	Micro-Siemens per Centimeter
ACI	Algal Cover Index
AFDM	Ash-Free Dry Mass
BACI	Before/After and Control/Impact
BF	Bankfull
cm	Centimeter
cm ²	Square Centimeters
Cond	Conductivity
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DI	Downstream Intermittent Site
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DQO	Data Quality Objectives
E	Ephemeral Site
EERD	Ecosystem Exposure Research Division
EMAP	Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program
FCSPD	Fairfax County Stormwater Planning Division
FPA	Flood Prone Area
GPS	Global Positioning System
HISS	Headwater Intermittent Streams Study
IEI	Intermountain Environmental, Inc
in	Inch
km	Kilometer
km ²	Square Kilometers
LIDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
m	Meter
m ²	Square Meters
m ³ s ⁻¹	Cubic Meters per Second
m/s	Meters per Second
mi	Miles
mi ²	Square Miles
mg/l	Milligrams per Liter
ml	Milliliter
mm	Millimeter
NaCl	Sodium Chloride
NAWQA	National Water Quality Assessment
NCDNR	North Carolina Department of Natural Resources
NCDWQ	North Carolina Division of Water Quality
NHEERL	National Health and Environmental Effects Laboratory
NRCS	National Resources Conservation Service

NRMRL	National Risk Management Research Laboratory
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Ohio EPA	Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
OW	Office of Water
OWOW	Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds
P	Perennial Site
PC	Personal Computer
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
RHAF	Rapid Habitat Assessment Form
RM	Regional Methods
SE	Standard Error
SVL	Snout-Vent Length
Temp	Temperature
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
UI	Upstream Intermittent Site
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USFS	United States Forest Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VTDEC	Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation